

6

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

22

require the use of a wheelchair or is only able to use such portions with undue difficulty.

2. The Mi Casa restaurant, located at 2195 North Broadway, Walnut Creek, California (the Restaurant) is a Restaurant which is open to the public. Defendants own the real property where the Restaurant is located.

3. Summary of facts: This case involves the denial of access to Plaintiff and others similarly

- situated at the Restaurant on January 30, 2008. Plaintiff was denied equal protection of the law and was denied Civil Rights under both California law and federal law, as hereinbelow described. Plaintiff was denied his rights to full and equal access at the Restaurant because of inaccessible parking and an inaccessible restroom, all of which made the Restaurant not properly accessible to Plaintiff and to others similarly situated. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to require Defendants to make the parking and restroom accessible, to comply with ADAAG and the CBC where required, to remove all barriers to access in the Restaurant which are readily achievable to remove, to make all reasonable accommodations in policy in order to enable Plaintiff and others similarly situated to use the Restaurant and at minimum, to use readily achievable alternative methods to enable Plaintiff to use the goods and services which the Restaurant makes available to the non-disabled public. Plaintiff also seeks the recovery of damages for his personal damages involved in the discriminatory experiences on January 30, 2008, and seeks recovery of reasonable attorney's fees and litigation expenses and costs according to statute.
- 4. Jurisdiction: This Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC § 1331 for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 USC 12101 et seq. Pursuant to

	1
4	2
2	3
4	1
5	
6	,
7	.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

supplemental jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action arising from the same facts are also brought under California law, including but not limited to violations of California Civil Code §§ 51, 54, 54.1 and 55. 5. Venue: Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 USC 1391(b) and is founded on the fact that the location where Plaintiff experienced his discrimination is located in this district and that Plaintiff's causes of action arose in this district. 6. Intradistrict: This case should be assigned to the San Francisco intradistrict because the incident occurred in, and Plaintiff's rights arose in, the San Francisco intradistrict. 7. The Restaurant is a "public accommodation or facility" subject to the requirements of California Civil Code § 51, 54, 54.1 and 55. 8. Placeholder. 9. Defendant is and was the owner, operator, manager, lessor and lessee of the subject Restaurant at all times relevant herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants is and was the agent, employee or representative of each of the other Defendants, and performed all acts and omissions stated herein within the scope of such agency or employment or representative capacity and is responsible in some manner for the acts and omissions of the other Defendants in proximately causing the damages complained of herein. 10. Plaintiff and others similarly situated are disabled persons who require the use of a wheelchair and are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each such facility is in compliance with the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and

the regulations thereof. Under Civil Code §§ 51 and 54.1, Defendants were required to comply

23

with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the federal
regulations adopted pursuant thereto. The acts and omissions of which Plaintiff complains took
place at the Restaurant.

11. Civil Code §§ 51 and 54, et seq., were enacted to prohibit discrimination against people with disabilities and to encourage the full and free use by people with disabilities of public facilities and other public places. CC §§ 51 and 54(c) state that a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 is a "violation of this section"; CC § 54.1(a) states that individuals with disabilities are entitled to "full and equal access" to public accommodations and that such access means that which meets the standards of Titles II and III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and federal regulations adopted pursuant thereto; CC § 52 states that minimum damages for discrimination are \$4,000 for each incidence of discrimination; CC § 54.3 states that minimum damages for discrimination are \$1,000; CC § 54.3 states that a defendant who denies or interferes with a disabled person's rights of access is liable for actual damages and attorneys' fees.

12. Health & Safety Code § 19955 was enacted "To ensure that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds adhere to provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with § 4450) of Division 5 of Title 1 of the Government Code." Such public accommodations include those which are the subject of this action. On information and belief, Title 24 California Code of Regulations, formerly known as the California Administrative Code, was in effect at the time of construction and of each alteration of work, all of which occurred after July 1, 1982, thus requiring access complying with the specifications of title 24

Case 3:08-cv-00837-BZ

9

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

for all such construction and for each such "alteration, structural repair or addition".

13. The Restaurant's parking lot, entrance and men's restroom are inaccessible to people in wheelchairs.

On January 30, 2008, Plaintiff patronized the Restaurant. Because of the inaccessible parking configuration, Plaintiff had difficulty getting out of his van. Plaintiff had difficulty getting his wheelchair over the high threshold at the Restaurant's entrance. Once inside the Restaurant, Plaintiff was unable to use the men's restroom.

As a result, Plaintiff was embarrassed and humiliated in an amount according to proof. 14. Defendant's failure to create an accessible parking lot and men's restroom for the Restaurant, remove all readily achievable barriers, institute policies in furtherance of accessibility or at least have an alternate policy to enable Plaintiff to use the goods and services offered to the non-disabled public without having to suffer the indignities, as aforesaid, was a violation of the California Building Code, the Americans with Disabilities Act and federal regulations adopted pursuant thereto, and as a consequence, was a violation of Civil Code §§ 51 and 54, et seq.

15. Injunctive relief: Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to prohibit the acts and omissions by Defendant at the Restaurant as stated above which appear to be continuing, and which have the effect of wrongfully excluding Plaintiff and others similarly situated from using the Restaurant. Plaintiff will patronize the Restaurant again once the barriers are removed. Such acts and omissions are the cause of humiliation and inconvenience of Plaintiff in that these actions continue to treat Plaintiff as an inferior and second class citizen and serve to discriminate

against Plaintiff on the sole basis that Plaintiff is a person with a disability and requires the use of a wheelchair for movement in public places. Plaintiff is unable so long as such acts and omissions of Defendant continue, to achieve equal access to and use of this public facility. The acts of Defendant have proximately caused and will continue to cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff if not enjoined by this Court. 16. Damages: As a result of the denial of equal access to the Restaurant and due to the acts and omissions of Defendants and each of them in owning, operating and/or leasing the Restaurant, Plaintiff suffered a violation of Civil Rights including but not limited to rights under Civil Code §§ 51, 54 and 54.1, and suffered mental and emotional distress, all to Plaintiff's damages. Defendant's actions and omissions to act constituted discrimination against Plaintiff on the sole basis that Plaintiff was physically disabled and unable to use the facilities on a full and equal basis as other persons. Plaintiff seeks actual damages, the minimum per occurance damages of \$4,000 pursuant to CC § 52, and \$1,000 pursuant to CC § 54.3. Plaintiff also seeks trebling of all of the actual damages as provided by Civil Code § 54.3. 17. Fees and costs: As a result of Defendant's acts, omissions and conduct, Plaintiff has been required to incur attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs as provided by statute, in order to enforce Plaintiff's rights and to enforce provisions of the law protecting access for disabled

persons and prohibiting discrimination against disabled persons. Plaintiff therefore seeks recovery of all reasonable attorney's fees and costs, pursuant to the provisions of Civil Code §§ 52 and 54.3. Additionally, Plaintiff's lawsuit is intended not only to obtain compensation for damages to Plaintiff, but also to force the Defendants to make their facilities accessible to all

Case 3:08-cv-00837-BZ

	8
	9
	0
]	. 1
1	2
1	3
1	-1
	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
]	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
	~

1

3

4

5

6

disabled members of the public, justifying "public interest" attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

18. Wherefore, Plaintiff asks this Court to preliminarily and permanently enjoin any continuing refusal by Defendants to comply with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and regulations adopted pursuant thereto with respect to access of disabled persons to the Restaurant; for statutory damages pursuant to CC § 52; for actual and treble damages pursuant to CC § 54.3 and for attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to CC §§ 52, 54.3 and 1021.5.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF: VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990 42 USC §§ 12101 FF

19. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-18 hereof.

20. Pursuant to law, in 1990 the United States Congress made findings per 42 USC §12101 regarding physically disabled persons, finding that laws were needed to more fully protect "some 43 million Americans [with] one or more physical or mental disabilities"; that "historically society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities"; and that "such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem"; that "the Nation's proper goals regarding individuals with disabilities are to assure equality of opportunity, full participation, independent living and economic self sufficiency for such individuals"; and that "the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our free society is justifiably famous ..." 21. Congress stated as its purpose in passing the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 USC

1	§12101(b)):
2	It is the purpose of this act
3	(1) to provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
4	(2) to provide clear, strong, consistent, <i>enforceable standards</i> addressing discrimination
5	against individuals with disabilities;
6	(3) to ensure that the Federal government plays a central role in enforcing the standards established in this act on behalf of individuals with disabilities; and
7	(4) to invoke the sweep of Congressional authority, including the power to enforce the
8	14th Amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of <i>discrimination faced day to day</i> by people with disabilities (emphasis added).
9	22. As part of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Public Law 101-336 (hereinafter the
10	"ADA"), Congress passed "Title III - Public Accommodations and Services Operated by Private
11	Entities" (42 USC 12181 ff). Among "private entities" which are considered "public
12	accommodations" for purposes of this Title is a Restaurant (Regulation 36.104).
13	23. Pursuant to §302, 42 USC §12182, "No individual shall be discriminated against on the
14	basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges,
16	advantages or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who
}	owns, leases or leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation."
17	24. Among the specific prohibitions against discrimination were included:
19	§12182(b)(2)(A)(ii): "A failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or
20	procedures when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities,
21	privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities";
22	§12182(b)(A)(iii): "a failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual
23	Complaint 8

Case 3:08-cv-00837-BZ

with a disability is excluded, denied service, segregated, or otherwise treated differently than
other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services"; §12182(b)(A)(iv):
"A failure to remove architectural barriers and communication barriers that are structural in
nature, in existing facilities where such removal is readily achievable"; $\S12182(b)(A)(v)$:
"Where an entity can demonstrate that the removal of a barrier under clause (iv) is not readily
achievable, a failure to make such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
accommodations available through alternative methods if such methods are readily achievable".
The acts and omissions of Defendants set forth herein were in violation of Plaintiff's rights
under the ADA, Public Law 101-336, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, 28 CFR Part
36ff.
25. The removal of each of the barriers complained of by Plaintiff as hereinabove alleged, were
at all times herein mentioned "readily achievable" under the standards of the Americans With
Disabilities Act. Because the Restaurant was not accessible, Defendants had an obligation to
have some sort of plan which would have allowed Plaintiff to enjoy the Restaurant's services
without having to suffer the indignities as aforesaid.
26. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 USC 12188ff, §308, Plaintiff is
entitled to the remedies and procedures set forth in §204(a) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42
USC 2000(a)-3(a), as Plaintiff is being subjected to discrimination on the basis of disability in
violation of this Title or has reasonable grounds for believing that he is about to be subjected to
discrimination in violation of §12182. On information and belief, Defendants have continued
to violate the law and deny the rights of Plaintiff and of other disabled persons to access this

1	
2	}
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

public accommodation since on or before January 30, 2008. Pursuant to §12188(a)(2), "In cases of violations of §12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) ... injunctive relief shall include an order to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by this title." 27. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to remedies set forth in §204(a) of the Civil Rights Act of

1964, (42 USC 2000(a)-3(a), and pursuant to Federal Regulations adopted to implement the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Plaintiff is a person for purposes of Section 12188(a) of the ADA who is being subjected to discrimination on the basis of disability in violation of Title III and who has reasonable grounds for believing he will be subjected to such discrimination each time that he may attempt to patronize the Restaurant.

Wherefore Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter stated:

PRAYER

1. Issue a preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to modify its facilities as required by law to comply with the ADAAG and the CBC where required, remove all barriers where it is readily achievable to do so, institute policies in furtherance of accessibility or at least have an alternate policy to enable Plaintiff to use the goods and services offered to the non-disabled public so that it provides adequate access to all citizens, including persons with disabilities; and issue a preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to maintain its facilities usable by plaintiff and similarly situated persons with disabilities in compliance with federal regulations, and which provide full and equal access, as required by law;

1	2. Retain jurisdiction over the Defendants until such time as the Court is satisfied that
2	Defendants' unlawful policies, practices, acts and omissions, and maintenance of inaccessible
3	public facilities as complained of herein no longer occur, and will not recur;
4	3. Award to Plaintiff all appropriate statutory damages;
5	4. Award to Plaintiff all reasonable attorneys' fees, all litigation expenses, and all costs
6	of this proceeding as provided by law; and
7	5. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.
8	Date: February 5, 2008
9	$\frac{1}{2}$
10	JAN Slewan
11	S/Thomas N. Stewart, III
12	Attorney for Plaintiff
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Complaint 11

Cas	se 3:08-cv-0083	7-BZ Do	cumer	nt 1-2 Fil	ed 02	2/06/2008	Pag	e 1 of 1		
							11/1		.	
JS 44 - No. CALIF(Rev. 497)		CIVI	L CO	VER SHE	ET	(V)/	THY		82	
The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO)										
I.(a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENIXANTS					4 1	
David Johnson				Zvezde	Zvezde and Yvonne Jakovleski					
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Contra Costa			COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES LISE THE LOCATION OF THE							
Contra	Costa					INVOLVED.	ontra	a Costa		
Thomas N. Stewart, III 369 Blue Oak Lage, 2n Clayton, CA 94517		PHONE NUMBER		Unknown	(NOWN)					
II. BASIS OF JURISE	DICTION (PLACE ANTI-	IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CITI PLAINTIFF	ZENSHIP OF I	PRINC	IPAL PARTIE	S PLACE	AN 10° IN ONE BO)X FOR	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff U.S. Government Defendant	(U.S. Governm	istion vent Not a Party)	[diversity cases on on of This State	•					
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	en of Anothe. State en or Subject of a wigh Country	□3 □3	of Business In Another State				
IV. ORIGIN	(PLA	CE AN 10 'IN					-			
☑1 Original ☐2 Removed from ☐3 Remanded from ☐4 Reinstated or ☐5 Transfered from ☐6 Multidistrict ☐7 Appeal to ☐7 Appeal to ☐5 Transfered from ☐6 Multidistrict ☐6 Multidistrict ☐7 Appeal to ☐6 Multidistrict ☐6 Multidistrict ☐7 Appeal to ☐7 Appeal to ☐7 Appeal to ☐6 Multidistrict ☐6 Multidistrict ☐7 Appeal to ☐7 Appeal to ☐7 Appeal to ☐6 Multidistrict ☐7 Appeal to ☐7 Appeal to ☐6 Multidistrict ☐6 Multidistrict ☐7 Appeal to							Judge from			
V.NATURE OF SUIT	,	ORTS		FORFEITURE/PE	NAI TY	BANKRUP	rcv	OTUGO S	TATIMES	
110 Insurance 120 Merine 130 Meliner Act 140 Negolieble Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayerant	PERSONAL INJURY 319 Arplana 315 Alplane Product Liability 329 Assault Libel 6	PERSONAL INJUI 342 Personal Injury Med Metprace 345 Personal Injury Product List 85	y coe y y	Y 610 Agriculture CSO Offer Flood & Drug CSS Drug R slated Seizure of Propert: 21 USC 861		GRANGE TO THE TENT OF THE TEN		OTHER STATUTES 489 State Responsionment 489 Anthrust 489 Banks and Banking 489 Commencaric C Released 460 Department		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loane (Excl Veterans)	Standar 1300 Federal Employers Liability 1340 Marine 345 Marine Product	■ 388 Asbantos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 379 Other Fraud		### 640 RR & T uck ### 660 Airline Regs ### 640 Coups unal Salloyfreath ####################################		829 Copyrights 830 Patent 849 Tredemark		479 Rackeleer Influenced and Compet Organizations [819 Selective Service		
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 180 Stockholders Suits	Liebilly 359 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lando	j	LABOR		SOCIAL SECURITY		Exchange B75 Quatomer Challenge		
196 Other Contract 196 Contract Product Lieblity	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 380 Other Personal Injury	Property Derru 385 Property Derru Product Liabile	9	710 Fair Lab x Standards Act 720 Labor/Myrit Relations 730 Labor/Myrit Reporting & Disolosive Act 740 Relevey Labor Act 750 Other Lator Lispation		681 HA (13956) 682 Stack Lung (923) 683 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 684 SSID Tide XVI 686 RSI (405(g))		12 USC 3410 1981 Agricultural Acts 1982 Economic Stabilization Act 1983 Environmental Matters 1984 Energy Allocation Act		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PET		731 Empl Ret Inc. Se		FEDERAL TAX		~		
200 Foredosure 3 200 Foredosure 3 200 Foredosure 3 200 Foredosure 4 200 Foredosure 5 200 Foredosure 6 200 Foredosure 7 200 Foredosu	C) 442 Employment 443 Housing 1445 Housing 1445 Housing 1446 Wasters 1446 Waste	\$10 Motion to Vecete Sentence				© 879 Tames (US Plain®ff or Defendent © 871 IPS - Third Party 26 USC 7609		□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 900 Constitutionarity of State Statutes □ 900 Other Statutory Actions		
/I. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE US CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE. DO NOT CITE URISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY) 42 USC 12101										
VII. REQUESTED IN CICHECK IF THIS IS ACLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER FR.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: (2) YES NO						ю				
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL LR 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE".										

DATE